

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for continued examination and consideration of the present application.

Claims 7-21 are pending in the application. Claims 7 and 8 have been amended. Claims 9-21 have been added.

The Examiner has rejected claims 7 and 8 on the basis that they are not patentably distinct under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting and in view of claim 6 of United States Letters Patent No. 6,678,489 (the '489 Patent).

Claims 7 and 8 have been amended to require at least two guide studs and two guide channels. Support for these amendments can be found in the specification at page 3, lines 16-20 (referring to guide studs 17 and 18 as well as guide channels 9 and 15). Support for these amendments can also be found in Figure 1.

As claim 6 of the '489 Patent is not directed to a two part imaging cartridge having two guide studs and two guide channels claims 7 and 8, as amended, are patentably distinct.


Claims 9-17 depend on claims 7 and 8 and, as such, are likewise patentably distinct from claims of the '489 Patent.

Claims 18-21 have been added and are directed to a print cover as disclosed in the specification beginning at page 5, line 4 thru page 6, line 12, as well as in Figures 6, 7, 8 and 9. Accordingly, no new subject matter has been added.

Appl. No. 10/736,355
Amendment dated Sept. 7, 2004
Reply to Office Action of May 17, 2004

It is believed that pending claims 7-21 are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Arthur I. Navarro', is written over a horizontal line.

Arthur I. Navarro
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 40,744

Navarro Law Office, P.C.
PO Box 166851
Irving, TX 75016
art-navarro@verizon.net
(972) 659-1275 - tel/fax